


۱۷۷۷

STATES POSTAGE

## Undeliverable Return in Ten Days

ENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, \$300




UNITED STATES POSTAGE  
EIGHT DOLLARS  
\$01.220  
02 1M SEP 18 2009  
0004244945  
MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 22314  
FIFTY BOWS

*[Signature]*



- ☐ MOVED, LEFT NO ADDRESS
- ☒ FORWARDING ORDER EXPIRED
- ☐ ATTEMPTED - NOT KNOWN
- ☐ UNCLAIMED
- ☐ NO SUCH STREET
- ☐ NO SUCH NUMBER
- ☐ INSUFFICIENT ADDRESS



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

*IPM*

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/605,207	08/29/2005	Craig J. Graulich		2206

7590  
IPX CORPORATION  
PO BOX 24103  
SEATTLE, WA 98124-0103

09/16/2009

EXAMINER
----------

MORROW, JASON S

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3612

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

09/16/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/605,207	<b>Applicant(s)</b> GRAULICH, CRAIG J.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Jason S. Morrow	<b>Art Unit</b> 3612	

**– The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address –**

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.  
     4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 29 August 2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.  
     Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
     Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
     a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                               | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                      | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Drawings***

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 15, 19. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

2. The drawings are objected to because they do not appear to show the device with sufficient detail. Applicant refers to "slot 46", "slot 36", and "slot 37", however these structures do not appear to be slots in the drawings. . Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets

may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### *Specification*

3. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

4. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it uses the term "means". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Art Unit: 3612

5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 11, in line 11, applicant refers to figure 6b, however, it appears applicant should be referring to figure 5a instead.

Appropriate correction is required.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

6. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

7. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Applicant's drawings and specification are not of sufficient clarity to fully understand how to make the invention.

Applicant refers to slots in the Specification which don't appear to be shown by the drawings.

Also, it is unclear how the lock actually locks. It is assumed that the device operates in a similar manner to that shown in US Patent 4,942,745, however the specification does not make this clear. Also, applicant is reminded of the duty to disclose information which is material to the patentability of the application. It is noted applicant refers to a lock mechanism constructed by Leech Industries Incorporated and a rear fender mount constructed by Harley Davidson but has not submitted any references in an Information Disclosure Statement showing those structures known to applicant.

Art Unit: 3612

8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

9. Claims 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 6 appears that it should be dependent upon another claim but is not.

Claim 8 is dependent upon itself.

Claim 9 is dependent on itself.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

11. Claims 1, 2, and 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jacobs et al. (US Patent 4,942,745).

Re claim 1, Jacobs discloses a motorcycle saddle locking device (the device shown in figure 2 is capable of being used as a motorcycle saddle locking device) for use in combination with a motorcycle chassis having a rear fender mount and a motorcycle saddle having a rear attachment member for locking the saddle to the rear fender mount, which is comprised of a lock housing (36), and a locking means (48) which is secured to the locking housing wherein the locking means and locking housing bias the rear attachment member between a closed and an

Art Unit: 3612

opened relationship with respect to the fender mount thereby rendering the saddle between an open and a closed position.

Re claim 2, the lock housing is comprised of an inner locking surface (the surface which accepts 48).

Re claim 7, the locking means is a barrel lock (see figure 2).

Re claim 8, the locking means is further comprised of a locking tab (38).

Re claim 9, the locking tab engages an outside surface on the rear attachment member (the device is capable of operating according to the claim).

Re claim 10, the locking tab engages an inside surface on the rear attachment member (the device is capable of operating according to the claim).

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

13. Claims 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jacobs et al. (US Patent 4,942,745).

Jacobs et al. discloses all the limitations of the claims, as applied above, except for the inner locking surface having a male threaded portion, the inner locking surface having a female



Art Unit: 3612

threaded portion, and the male threaded portion of the locking housing is combined with the female threaded portion of the locking means by threading the male threaded portion of the locking housing into the female threaded portion of the locking means.

The examiner takes Official Notice that the use of threaded male and female portions is old and well known in the art.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify a locking a device, such as that disclosed by Jacobs et al., to have the inner locking surface have a male threaded portion, the inner locking surface have a female threaded portion, and the male threaded portion of the locking housing being combined with the female threaded portion of the locking means by threading the male threaded portion of the locking housing into the female threaded portion of the locking means, as is old and well known in the art, in order to provide a common way of joining two parts together that is easily manufactured and well understood.

### *Conclusion*

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited references disclose locking devices.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason S. Morrow whose telephone number is (571) 272-6663. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00a.m.-4:30p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Dayoan can be reached on (571) 272-6659. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jason S. Morrow/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3612

September 14, 2009

<b>Notice of References Cited</b>	Application/Control No. 10/605,207		Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination GRAULICH, CRAIG J.	
	Examiner Jason S. Morrow		Art Unit 3612	Page 1 of 1

**U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
*	A	US-4,619,122	10-1986	Simpson, Harold N.	70/34
*	B	US-4,942,745	07-1990	Jacobs et al.	70/58
*	C	US-5,063,763	11-1991	Johnson, Clyde T.	70/58
*	D	US-7,225,649	06-2007	Wyers, Philip W.	70/34
*	E	US-7,448,663	11-2008	Fujimoto et al.	296/63
*	F	US-2009/0113962	05-2009	Gordon, Johnny Earl	70/261
	G	US-			
	H	US-			
	I	US-			
	J	US-			
	K	US-			
	L	US-			
	M	US-			

**FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS**

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	O					
	P					
	Q					
	R					
	S					
	T					

**NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS**

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	U	
	V	
	W	
	X	

\*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).)  
Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.